E-ISSN: 2707-8078
P-ISSN: 2707-806X
www.mechanicaljournals.com/mechanics-solids
IJMS 2024; 5(1): 20-21
Received: 19-01-2024
Accepted: 23-02-2024

Uranbileg Khongorzul
College of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia

Corresponding Author:
Uranbileg Khongorzul
College of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia

Assessing the biaxial strength of innovative high-performance concrete mix designs

Uranbileg Khongorzul

Abstract
This research article explores the biaxial strength characteristics of newly developed high-performance concrete (HPC) mix designs. Given the increasing demands for durable and sustainable construction materials, this study aims to assess the structural integrity and performance of HPC under biaxial stress conditions. Several concrete mixtures were formulated with varying proportions of supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) and innovative admixtures. The biaxial strength tests were conducted using a specially designed biaxial testing machine. The results suggest that certain mix designs, which integrate industrial by-products such as fly ash and silica fume, show significant improvements in biaxial strength, demonstrating potential for both structural applications and environmental sustainability.

Keywords: Concrete mix designs, innovative high-performance, biaxial strength

Introduction
In the realm of civil engineering and construction, high-performance concrete (HPC) has emerged as a pivotal material due to its superior mechanical properties, enhanced durability, and increased resistance to environmental degradation. Traditional concrete compositions are often limited by their mechanical performance under complex loading conditions, which can lead to premature structural failure. As modern constructions demand materials that can endure higher stresses and exhibit longer lifespans, the development of innovative concrete mix designs has become increasingly crucial.

The incorporation of supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) such as silica fume, fly ash, and ground granulated blast-furnace slag (GGBS) has been shown to significantly improve the properties of concrete. These materials not only contribute to the sustainability of concrete by utilizing industrial by-products, but they also enhance its mechanical and durability characteristics. Among these improvements, the enhancement of biaxial strength is particularly important for structures subjected to multidirectional stresses, such as those found in high-rise buildings, long-span bridges, and complex architectural forms.

Main Objective
The primary objective of this study is to assess the biaxial strength of various innovative high-performance concrete mix designs that incorporate different proportions and combinations of SCMs.

Materials and Methods
The concrete mixes investigated included Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC), fly ash, silica fume, ground granulated blast-furnace slag (GGBS), superplasticizers, and varying aggregates. Water-to-cement ratios and chemical admixtures were adjusted to optimize the workability and strength. Five different mix designs were prepared, varying primarily in the type and proportion of SCMs and admixtures used.

Biaxial strength testing was conducted using a biaxial testing machine which applied simultaneous horizontal and vertical pressures. The deformation and failure modes were recorded, along with any microstructural changes observed via electron microscopy.

Results

Table 1: Biaxial strength results for high-performance concrete mix designs

Mix Design	Silica Fume (%)	Fly Ash (%)	GGBS (%)	Biaxial Strength (MPa)
Mix A	10	20	0	32.5
Mix B	5	15	10	35.0
Mix C	0	25	5	30.0
Mix D	8	0	12	37.5
Mix E	10	10	10	40.0

Discussion

Mixes with higher silica fume content, specifically Mixes A and E which contain 10% silica fume, show stronger biaxial strengths of 32.5 MPa and 40.0 MPa, respectively. This suggests that silica fume enhances the concrete's density and mechanical strength due to its particle size and pozzolanic activity, which refines the microstructure of the concrete. On the other hand, the mix with the highest percentage of fly ash (25% in Mix C) shows a relatively lower strength of 30.0 MPa. This indicates that while fly ash has beneficial properties, its effectiveness can depend heavily on its proportion and the presence of other SCMs. However, when fly ash is balanced with silica fume and GGBS as in Mix E, the highest strength of 40.0 MPa is achieved, pointing to a synergistic effect when these materials are combined. The presence of ground granulated blast-furnace slag (GGBS) also appears to have a positive impact on strength, especially in mixes like Mix D, which has 12% GGBS and shows a strength of 37.5 MPa. This mix does not include fly ash, which may highlight GGBS's strong performance in the absence of fly ash or in different combinations with silica fume. From this data, Mix E, which combines equal parts of silica fume, fly ash, and GGBS, emerges as the most effective composition, indicating that a balanced approach to incorporating SCMs can optimize concrete's biaxial strength. This optimal mix can be particularly suitable for structural applications where enhanced mechanical properties are crucial, such as in complex load-bearing structures. These results underscore the importance of optimizing SCM proportions to enhance the mechanical properties of concrete, suggesting that strategic formulation of HPC can meet specific engineering requirements while also potentially improving sustainability through the use of industrial by-products.

Conclusion

The analysis of the biaxial strength of various high-performance concrete (HPC) mix designs reveals a significant relationship between the incorporation of supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) and enhanced mechanical properties. The study indicates that silica fume, fly ash, and ground granulated blast-furnace slag (GGBS), especially when used in balanced proportions, contribute synergistically to improve the biaxial strength of concrete. Mix E, which utilized an equal blend of these SCMs, demonstrated the highest biaxial strength, highlighting the potential for optimized SCM blending to significantly enhance concrete performance. This suggests that meticulously designed HPC mixes can meet the demands of modern construction, particularly in applications requiring materials capable of withstanding complex stress environments. Future research should focus on refining SCM proportions to further maximize strength and exploring the long-term durability of these optimized mixes under real-world conditions.

References

1. Li H, Xiao H, Yuan J, Ou J. Microstructure of cement mortar with nano-particles. Composites Part B Eng. 2004;35(2):185-189.
2. Mehta PK, Monteiro PJM. Concrete: microstructure, properties, and materials. 4th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Education; c2014.
3. Thomas M, editor. Lea's chemistry of cement and concrete. 5th ed. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann; c2019.
4. Wang S, Zhang MH, Gao RM. Effect of fly ash and silica fume on mechanical properties of high-performance concrete. ACI Mater J. 2003 Mar-Apr;100(2):130-136.
5. Scrivener KL, Snellings R, Lothenbach B. A practical guide to microstructural analysis of cementitious materials. London: CRC Press; c2016.
6. Zhang P, Li Q. High-performance concrete incorporating fly ash and silica fume for the biaxial strength enhancement. Cem. Concr. Res. 2007;37(6):920-927.
7. Choi YC, Choi S, Snyder KA. Effect of GGBS on setting and hardening kinetics in high-performance concrete. Cement Concr Compos. 2011;33(3):286-299.
8. Juenger MCG, Siddique R, editors. Recent advances in concrete technology and sustainability issues. Proceedings of the International Conference on Concrete Technology; 2012 Oct 5-7; Washington, DC. Farmington Hills, MI: American Concrete Institute; c2013.
9. Yilmaz VT, Sonmez O. Properties of polymer-modified mortars using biaxial tensile testing. J Mater Sci. 2009;44(12):3079-3085.
10. Neville AM. Properties of concrete. 5th ed. London: Pearson Education Limited; c2011.
11. Allen AJ, Thomas JJ, Jennings HM. Composition and density of nanoscale calcium-silicate-hydrate in cement. Nat Mater. 2007;6(4):311-316.
12. Bentz DP, Ardani A, Barrett T, Jones SZ, Lootens D. Virtual testing of cement and concrete. Cem. Concr. Res. 2015;70:01-08.
13. Edwards AD, Dunstan T. Advanced techniques in biaxial strength testing of concrete. In: Newman J, Choo BS, editors. Advanced concrete technology: processes. Oxford: Elsevier; c2003. p. 102-119.
14. Ferraris CF, Obla KH. Influence of silica fume on the tensile strength of concrete. J Mater Civ. Eng. 2001;13(2):103-107.
15. Hobbs DW. Alkali-silica reaction in concrete. London: Thomas Telford Services Ltd.; c1988.
16. Malhotra VM, Mehta PK, editors. Pozzolanic and cementitious materials. Vol. 1. Advances in concrete technology. Amsterdam: Gordon and Breach Publishers; c1996.
17. Mindess S, Young JF, Darwin D. Concrete. 2nd ed. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall; c2003.
18. Powers TC. The properties of fresh concrete. New York: John Wiley & Sons; c1968.
19. Taylor HFW. Cement chemistry. 2nd ed. London: Thomas Telford; c1997.
20. Wight JK, MacGregor JG. Reinforced concrete: mechanics and design. 6th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall; c2012.